1

1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
2 OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR MARION COUNTY
3 CASE NO.: 42-1981-CF-170
4
5 STATE OF FLORIDA
6 vs.
7 IAN DECO LIGHTBOURNE,
8 Defendant.
9
10 EXCERPT
PROCEEDINGS: Continued Evidentiary Hearing Concerning lethal Injection (Diaz Issue)
BEFORE: Honorable Carven D. Angel
14 Circuit Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit  In and For Marion County, Florida
16 REPORTED BY: Noelani J. Fehr Stenographic Court Reporter
17 Notary Public State of Florida at Large
18
DATE AND TIME: July 22, 2007; Sunday, afternoon session 19
PLACE: Courtroom 3A

20	Marion County Judicial Center Ocala, Florida
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: KENNETH S. NUNNELLEY, Esquire
	BARBARA C. DAVIS, Esquire
2	CAROLYN SNURKOWSKI, Esquire
	Assistant Attorney Generals
3	Office of the Attorney General
	444 Seabreeze Blvd., 5th Floor
4	Daytona Beach, Florida 32118
	and
5	ROCK HOOKER, Esquire
	Assistant State Attorney
6	State Attorney's Office Building
	19 NW Pine Avenue
7	Ocala, Florida 34470
8	SUZANNE KEFFER, Esquire
	ANNA-LIISA NIXON, Esquire
9	ROSEANNE ECKERT, Esquire
	NEAL A. DUPREE, Esquire
10	CAROLINE KRAVATH, Esquire
	Law Office of CCRC-South
11	101 NE. Third Avenue, Suite 400
	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
12	Attorneys for Defendant
13	MAXIMILLIAN J. CHANGUS, Esq.
	Office of General Counsel
14	Florida Department Of Corrections
	2601 Blair Stone Road
15	Tallahassee, FL 34399-2500
	Attorney for Department of Corrections
16	
	ALSO PRESENT: Gayle Watson, Judicial Assistant
17	
- •	
18	
10	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

 $file: /\!/\!/S|/Meredith/State\%20v\%20Ian\%20Lightbourne\%20Excerpt.txt$ 

1	EXCERPT
2	
3	THE COURT: Can I give you some help? I'm
4	prepared to make a ruling. I don't think we need
5	to go any further. I think what we need to do is
6	pretty clear, but if you want to go ahead and get
7	some more testimony from this witness and cross
8	examine now for the purpose of review by the
9	Supreme Court, that's fine; or do you want me to
10	just go ahead and tell you what the order is going
11	to be?
12	MS. KEFFER: Your Honor, my inclination is
13	probably not to ask any further questions, so I
14	THE COURT: That's fine. I think we need to
15	go ahead. All right. The State I appreciate
16	the response from the State and the Department of
17	Corrections, and as counsel for the Department. I
18	seems to me like everybody has been making a
19	yeoman's effort in regard to reporting the

- situation.
- I think also the -- upon review of the
- testimony of the Secretary and counsel for
- 23 Department it's pretty clear what we need to do.
- And so at this point I think I will need to -- we
- can go ahead and help the Supreme Court out and go

1	ahead and give them an order next week if you want
2	to, or the following week, or if we when we get
3	the transcript done so they can have that for
4	review.
5	But I think at this point the Court needs to
6	enter a temporary stay of the execution process
7	for Mr. Lightbourne. I think that seems to be
8	pretty clear.
9	And lest I forget it before in the process
10	of making some comments and, of course, the
11	reporter could type up my comments to provide to
12	counsel to assist the Court in in getting an
13	appropriate order.
14	But before I in case I get through and
15	forget it, one thing that sort of has come out to
16	me in the process here is that I don't think that
17	any eighteen-year-old executioner with the
18	pressure of a governor's warrant behind him to
19	carry out an execution, and with the pressure of

- the whole world, the press and the whole world, in front of him and looking at him is going to have enough experience and competence to stop an execution when it needs to be stopped. I just don't think that's going to happen.

  And it seems to be pretty clear that what
  - Owen & Associates (352) 624-2258

1	happened in the Diaz case is that is exactly what
2	happened, that we experienced a circumstance that
3	is one of those one hundred and one circumstances
4	that couldn't have been anticipated. And we need
5	to have experienced, qualified and trained people
6	in in the process to make the necessary
7	judgments as they happen, because there's always
8	going to be that one hundred and one contingency
9	that nobody expected. We need to have people with
10	competence and experience to respond to those
11	situations.
12	Specifically, when we've started the process
13	of injecting the chemicals, and we've got an
14	eighteen-year-old doing that process, and then we
15	encounter with the Governor behind you and the
16	press and the world in front of you, what in the
17	world am I going to do?
18	And then we encounter an IV site with
19	problems. Am I going to continue with the am I

20 going to continue with the injection of these
21 chemicals, or am I going to switch over to the
22 other line, whether it's 1, or A, or B, am I going
23 to switch over to that and am I going to start
24 injecting chemicals into another arm, and where am
25 I going to do it, and what -- what chemicals am I

1	going to start?
2	It seems to be pretty clear that the
3	executioner need to have authority to stop the
4	process right then. He's got to have the
5	authority just like you would in a in a this
6	not being a medical context, but in view of a
7	medical context somebody needs to stop the
8	process.
9	And I doubt that any eighteen-year-old that
10	I've ever known would have the guts to go up to
11	the warden and say; warden, we've got to stop,
12	we've got a problem here, we've got to assess the
13	problem and fix it.
14	I just don't think that's going to happen.
15	So so, again, so we're not going to expect any
16	eighteen-year-old to say that. I don't see why we
17	should fret over having an eighteen-year-old as an
18	executioner because the Secretary knows, and I
19	know, that he ain't never going to hire no

25

doing.

- 20 eighteen-year-old to do that. 21 So why don't we just go ahead and change 22 that, and as counsel was saying, to point out --23 to point out in the process of these protocols to 24
  - Owen & Associates (352) 624-2258

define exactly what -- what it is that we are

I	I think that it it's helpful to me to
2	to look at this thing from the point of view of
3	the Governor, and by extension from the point of
4	view of any judge considering this imposing a
5	death sentence, and certainly for any judge
6	reviewing the process of carrying out a death
7	sentence.
8	When a governor sits down in considering
9	and I don't know. I was going to look at a
10	warrant, but I think somebody mentioned and
11	I've never seen one of these warrants that the
12	warrant someone said, here is an execution
13	warrant, carry it out within thirty days.
14	But but in any event, when when a
15	governor sits down to consider whether he's going
16	to sign an execution, a warrant for execution, he
17	needs to have the confidence of knowing a few
18	things. And as I said, by extension, any judge in
19	the state would have the confidence of knowing a

- few things.
- He needs to know that the process that he is
- about to start the State into, or down the road
- down which, that this process is going to be a
- process that is -- is compatible with evolving
- standards of decency. And that this process is

1	going to be compatible with standards that mark
2	the progress of a maturing society.
3	And and he needs to know that the process
4	is going to be consistent with evolving standards
5	and notions of the dignity of man, and that this
6	process is not likely with to the extent that
7	it is humanly possible, it is not likely to result
8	in an unnecessary or or a wanton infliction of
9	pain or a necessary or an unnecessary or a
10	wanton, lingering death.
11	Well, how can the Governor know that, and
12	when he's considering whether or not he ought to
13	sign a warrant? I would think that the Governor
14	would need a a certification from the
15	Department of Corrections.
16	And he might not want to sign a warrant
17	I I don't see, I'm not familiar with a
18	warrant with the Governor's procedures, but he
19	might not want to sign a warrant unless he has on

20	his desk a certificate from the Department of
21	Corrections.
22	And I would say that it should be a current
23	certificate. Now, what is current? Well, it's
24	something that is signed whatever it's defined
25	to be, but it might be something signed within the

I	last year, or within the last five years, or
2	whatever is current.
3	He just needs to know from from the
4	Secretary that with the current certification that
5	the that the Department has all the necessary
6	equipment and facilities to carry out a death
7	warrant.
8	In other words, they've got a building that's
9	capable of doing it. He's got heart monitors in
10	place. He's got TV monitors in place. He's
11	he's got all the facilities necessary to carry
12	to carry this out.
13	And that would, of course, include as we have
14	already seen here, that would that certificate
15	would contemplate that these facilities have been
16	properly maintained, that they're they're in
17	proper working order. And that they have been
18	properly evaluated for improvements, and
19	architectural and building improvements, or

- whatever has been made.So the Governor should be able to know from a
- recent certificate from the Department that they
- have all the facilities in plan -- in place, that
- they have been properly maintained, and they have
- been properly modified, so that normal procedures

1	can be carried out.
2	And the Governor the Governor would want
3	to know that the Department has all the necessary
4	procedures in place, these protocols that we have
5	been discussing, he's got the necessary procedures
6	in place that would carry the process out, that
7	he's got the necessary personnel in place, that
8	all of these personnel have the necessary and
9	minimum qualifications to do their job, the
10	necessary minimum experience to do their job,
11	and and they also have the necessary training,
12	ongoing and continuous training, to do to do
13	their job.
14	And in the process of of knowing or or
15	having confidence that these procedures are
16	consistent with the evolving notions and concepts
17	of the dignity of man, and they that they are
18	in process with keeping with evolving standards of
19	decency with a maturing society, that these

20 protocols are -- are themselves being reviewed.

21 And we can go back to the Secretary's very

22 own description of what he did here for -- for a

23 good standard to go by. We can kind of formalize

24 the process that -- that the Secretary went

25 through himself in redoing these standards.

1	well, what did he do? He reviewed legal
2	literature. He reviewed jurisprudence. He
3	reviewed medical medical literature, and the
4	pro and con on on this issue to understand.
5	So he reviewed what goes on in other
6	jurisdictions. He reviewed protocols from other
7	jurisdictions, and the experiences of other
8	jurisdictions.
9	So so so that I would think that these
10	protocols might include, or somewhere in the
11	procedure or process would include a review of the
12	protocols from these various perspectives.
13	And just as the Governor's Commission did,
14	they reported the things that they reviewed. And
15	so in the process of reviewing and defense
16	counsel has been questioning these things. I
17	think that in the process of reviewing these
18	protocols somebody could could keep a could
19	set down what it is we reviewed since the last

- 20 time we reviewed this.
- And this would, of course, include -- would
- include medical science. And medical science is
- 23 going to include psychological science and
- sociological science and all these things that
- would be pertinent, and these things could be --

1 could be included in that. 2 I also think that it would be appropriate to 3 include in the process of reviewing these 4 protocols some public input. Now, we don't want 5 to degenerate into a town hall meeting for 6 heaven's sake and never get anything done, but it would certainly be how -- how often are we going 7 to review these things? Well, I don't know. We 8 could review it every year, or every two years, or 9 every five years or something. 10 And it could include -- it could well include 11 some process for public input into these review 12 processes. Now, it doesn't have to degenerate 13 into a town hall meeting. 14 It could be something as, look, we're going 15 16 to commence the -- we're going to commence the 17 process of review say on September 1st. And it won't take us from the -- from the Secretary's own 18 testimony I think it took him three or four months 19

- to -- you know, to do what he did. So let's just
  say it might take three or four months for the
  Department and Department's counsel and staff
  to -- to review these things, and take a three or
  four month process.
- And we are going to start on September 1st,

1	so we can just let the whole world know that
2	that's what we're doing. And and if there's
3	anything you want me to review in terms of
4	modifications, and jurisprudence, or medical
5	science, you'd better get it to me by September
6	1st because that's when I am going to start
7	reviewing it.
8	And so so the so the Governor, when
9	he's sitting down to to consider one of these
10	warrants he knows that the procedure he is about
11	to commence are subject to periodic review.
12	They're subject to input from the appropriate
13	from the public.
14	And the Department also can certify to the
15	Governor that they they are they are
16	continuously reviewing their procedures. And at
17	least as of a certain date, whatever it is, we
18	completed our review and that these are these
19	are our present protocols based upon that.

20	Now, I appreciate your concern that we don't
21	want to create a process or procedure that is
22	going to make it so cumbersome that we can't even
23	get anything done.
24	And, you know, I appreciate your remarks that
25	we're not and I appreciate the Department's

1	response to this. And I don't get too concerned
2	about this, but we're obviously not creating
3	something that is going to be a process where
4	for for defense counsel just to litigate over
5	something. We're not creating a litigation
6	process.
7	And I don't think the Department considers it
8	from that point of view. They're just trying to
9	make apparent exactly what has been going on. But
10	I think it would be helpful to let it is
11	helpful to let everyone know in the process what
12	is going on without creating something that is
13	that's totally unworkable.
14	So in that regard, then, in in drafting
15	these things I think it might be possible to draft
16	some some we know that life is a process and
17	life is not a statement, and things are always
18	going on, but I think it might be possible to
19	build into this some changes that might happen

quickly. And I know that -- that without -without going into the formality of it, it might
be involved in an annual process, for example.
I think probably during my lifetime I don't
know that there were -- I don't know if we had
such things as paramedics and EMTs a few years

1	ago. Medical science changes. The description
2	of job descriptions change some. So it could
3	be it could be possible to build in changes
4	like that, but that that the Secretary could
5	implement as part of the protocols without having
6	to change the protocols themselves.
7	So so in looking at in looking down at
8	the Secretary giving the Governor a certificate
9	that the building is in place, his equipment is in
10	place, it's been maintained, it's been reviewed
11	for modifications and those have been made,
12	that's that's in place.
13	In looking at the protocols, that we have
14	those developed or staff has been trained. Then
15	looking at looking at the protocols themselves,
16	the purpose, for example. I'm I'm not
17	suggesting what has to be here, these are just
18	things to consider.
19	You might want to you might want to

consider in stating that the purposes is -- and I
realize that these protocols are sort of in
some -- in one sense it's -- it's a job specific
thing without telling people what to do, but -but it may be a little bit more than that because
protocols -- well, you'll see what I mean in just

1	a moment. But it might I mean, we don't want
2	to expand this protocol thing to the point where
3	it becomes an encyclopedia.
4	But the purposes might include in it the fact
5	of what I just said earlier taken from the
6	Governor's Commission here, we're trying to
7	establish procedures that are consistent with
8	the that are in accord with the evolving
9	concepts of the dignity of man. And they're
10	they're they're also that are compatible
11	with the evolving standards of decency that mark
12	the progress of a maturing society. And that
13	might be something that might be put into into
14	that into that purpose section.
15	But the the definitions, let's say the
16	next going to definition of execution team, and
17	the execution, and warden. Just some general
18	comments that occurred to me about the protocols.
19	I'm a little bit concerned in the protocols

that we're putting too much of the burden upon the
warden. And I think we need to give the warden a
little help. That probably, as counsel might
have -- might have eluded to that in his remarks,
but I don't think we should put the burden on the
warden of -- of knowing who is qualified to do

1	all to do all these jobs.
2	So I think we we could give the warden a
3	little help there, and say when you're selecting
4	people to do this you need to tell him what these
5	people what the minimum qualifications,
6	training and experience are for these people.
7	That could be done in something like a
8	procedure of telling the warden that you can
9	select somebody from a list to be certified by
10	the by the secretary.
11	And that's one of those that's one of
12	those areas where you can build into it the
13	changes in progress and the advance of medical
14	science.
15	This year you can only include doctors. Next
16	year the list can can include paramedics and
17	EMTs. Things like that. Well, after that we may
18	have people that we don't we don't even
19	presently have presently identified in science.

20	So the warden can include can pick somebody
21	from a list to be certified by the Secretary, who
22	would be appropriate to do these jobs.
23	And I don't think we should put the burden
24	upon the warden to go and investigate the Florida
25	statutes to define who is qualified to do these

1	things. I don't think we should put the burden on
2	the warden on the warden to go find out whether
3	or not he's got to pick an EMT, a nurse, a doctor,
4	or somebody else who is qualified to do an IV, or
5	who is qualified to be to push these chemicals,
6	or who is qualified to do a cut down, or a femoral
7	access, or a central access. I don't think the
8	warden is qualified to to make those decisions.
9	I think we need to give the warden a little help
10	there and tell him who he can pick.
11	So far as as the well, I don't know.
12	You can think about this. Selection of the
13	execution team. We've got security team members
14	and technical team members.
15	The warden has identified several people that
16	are going to be in the death chamber. One of them
17	is going to be somebody who is pulling curtains.
18	Another he's going to be there. The FDLE agent
19	is going to be there.

- I think that he said there's going to be
  another person there, somebody there's some
  conflict -- or not conflict, there's a difference
  in the opinion of whether there's going to be four
  or five or six people there.

  But I don't know if the security team
  - Owen & Associates (352) 624-2258

1	members well, that may be sufficient. But
2	but I don't know, you might want to take a look at
3	the job descriptions of some of the people
4	involved in the process.
5	I think we're putting too much of a burden to
6	say the technical team members the warden will
7	select personnel to perform the technical
8	procedures. I think that's too much of a burden
9	to put on the warden to say, well, pick who is
10	going to do a cut down procedure, or who is going
11	to do a central or femoral access. I think that
12	is putting too much of a burden on the warden. I
13	think we need to help him and give him a list of
14	people to pick from.
15	I think job descriptions, I think we've
16	mentioned that. I think I think maybe some
17	people even said they were working on job
18	descriptions. That that could be looked at.
19	But I think we need to also help the warden, or

or include in these protocols the minimum
qualifications for people performing various jobs,
the minimum experience in doing these jobs, and
the ongoing training in doing these jobs.

Now, this might be getting too far down into
the details, but when the warden is assigned the

1	duty of carrying out a warrant and he's selecting
2	personnel to do these jobs I think somebody will
3	need to provide the warden a list of personnel
4	that are that are that meet these criteria.
5	I don't know how the Department goes about
6	doing that. I don't know. If they can provide
7	the warden a list of personnel and the warden can
8	go down the list and make his own selection from
9	these people. Obviously, confidentiality is going
10	to be maintained throughout the process.
11	But just so I don't forget forget it, the
12	Secretary this is another point the Secretary
13	brought out in his testimony.
14	He questioned the execution the
15	executioner, one of the executioners; well, do you
16	think you're trained to do this job and are you
17	ready to go?
18	And he said, yes, sir.
19	Well, two hours later he told the public that

he was not. That's a little bit distressing.

So I would think that the -- at some point in the process the warden would need a -- a -- I guess after he's -- or in the process of selecting people he might want to have in his folder a certificate, or just a statement from the people

1 that he is -- he is selecting, "yes, sir, warden, 2 I meet the minimum qualifications, I have had the 3 necessary training, and I am confident and -confident that I have the ability to carry out my 4 assigned responsibilities and I'm willing to carry 5 those assigned responsibilities out". 6 Because that could change. I mean, I don't 7 know, something can happen in a person's life and 8 he might now feel "I'm not quite suited to do that 9 job right now, warden, you'd better pass me over". 10 11 So -- so that -- that, of course, would involve looking at what are the qual -- minimum 12 qualifications for each person doing each assigned 13 14 responsibility on the execution team and looking at the training, the minimum training for these 15 folks, and minimum experience for these folks. 16 17 Well, I think we've also -- it might have come out that we're in the process of having just 18 competed a developing checklist that are going to 19

- 20 be used.
- I would think that -- in other words, one
- other thing, before lifting the stay of
- 23 Mr. Lightbourne's case I, myself, would like to
- 24 know that the Department has completed the process
- 25 that Mr. Changus says that they're -- they will be

1	undertaking. They've completed the amendments to
2	the protocols. They have completed any job
3	descriptions of people involved in the execution
4	team.
5	And when I say the execution team, you might
6	want to include or consider identifying the
7	minimum number of people who are going to be
8	involved. The guy who is going to be pulling the
9	curtains. The guy who is or the person who is
10	going to be inside viewing these the monitors.
11	The minimum number of people. Again, obviously,
12	flexibility there for the warden to decide whoever
13	else might be necessary.
14	But you might want the complete job
15	descriptions. You might want complete checklists.
16	You might want to specify the training, the
17	minimum training, that would that we would want
18	to have in place.
19	And that that training that training,

20	again, I think that could also relate back to the
21	purpose, the statement of purposes, that everyone
22	involved in the process will be trained, that
23	we're that our objective is to carry out a
24	process that is consistent with evolving notions
25	of the decency of man. It is not going to involve

1	unnecessary lingering or unnecessary or wanton
2	infliction of pain or lingering death. It's going
3	to be consistent with the evolving standards of
4	the progress of a maturing society, a decent and
5	maturing society, so I guess everyone involved in
6	the process will understand that.
7	And to some to whatever extent necessary
8	the people involved in the process need to know
9	that they have a right to report to the warden,
10	warden, we we have a problem, and we need to
11	address this problem before we go forward.
12	I've mentioned the executioners, or whatever
13	other medical personnel, they need to know that
14	they can report to the warden and request the
15	warden, we've got a problem with the IV, the first
16	chemical is not working properly, the second or
17	the third, or whatever whatever physical
18	problem develops.
19	Or the EK monitor is blink on the blink.

20	I can't monitor the situation. Whatever might
21	come up. To report to the warden and request
22	cessation or proper adjustment. They don't have
23	to be under the feeling that my sole job here is
24	to get this thing done and I better not open my
25	mouth because I don't want to cause problems. I

1 mean I ---But in any case, so I -- I would feel 2 3 confident myself, as a judge in lifting a stay, once I know that these personnel are in place, 4 they're trained, and that they -- they're 5 independent. They have a right to and the 6 responsibility to report things to -- that come 7 8 up. I mean, the very essence of a contingency is 9 this has never happened to me yet. So -- but the 10 more that we do train the better off. We're 11 competent in responding to things that come up 12 that have never been anticipated before. 13 14 I think that about covers my concerns with the protocols. The Department has already 15 16 indicated they're also sensitive to these things that are being reviewing -- reviewing the process. 17 Of course, I -- I would -- I would be concerned, 18 as I'm sure the warden would be, and everybody 19

20	involved in the process would be, that any person
21	that might be called upon to do a cut down has the
22	proper qualifications, experience and training to
23	do that, or to do these IVs. So I think we've
24	already addressed the fact that they they have
25	the minimum qualifications, experience and

training as specified. 1 2 All right. I don't think at this point that 3 we're -- I don't think at this point that we are 4 considering, although, maybe we are considering, 5 what is going on in the Federal court system, what is going on in other jurisdictions. In the 6 process of reviewing the protocols that -- that 7 8 could -- that could occur even now. 9 But once we've -- once we have the definition 10 of who all is -- who -- who would be -- who would be the minimum people on the execution team, the 11 minimum security people, the minimum technical 12 people, or other people, the job descriptions for 13 these people, we have job descriptions for these 14 people, these people are certified that they 15 16 meet -- they meet the minimum qualifications. They meet the minimum experience. They have 17 18 all been trained and -- and they're certified to the warden, therefore to the Secretary, and 19

therefore to the Court that they -- that they
are -- the people involved have done all of that,
and they're confident that they will be able to
carry out a warrant if it's issued in this -- in
the Lightbourne -- if it's ever issued in the
Lightbourne case.

1	I think that's about all that we need to
2	address right now. If the court reporter could
3	transcribe could type up my comments and and
4	do, in fact, type those up and make those
5	available to counsel, then the this will kind
6	of take a little bit of pressure off of or at
7	least give us some guidance, and the Department
8	and the time scheduling of things that the
9	Department's producing records that the Court's
10	requested to be produced.
11	So far as the counsel's requests for a
12	testimony from the qualified people, as far as
13	counsel's motion to leave evidentiary hearing
14	open, I don't know that I would I haven't
15	reviewed that motion.
16	I don't know that all of that would be
17	pertinent now, but I think that motion should be
18	granted because if we're going to have a stay of
19	execution we're going to have to have some kind of

20 hearing to consider whether the execution stay
21 will be lifted.
22 So, obviously, I think we're going to have to
23 have some further evidentiary hearing. And I
24 didn't mean to cut you off earlier, but why go
25 ahead with the cross examination of this witness,

1	or call another witness, when we know we're going
2	to have to have another evidentiary hearing to
3	consider whether the stay should be lifted? And
4	that's going to take us a little bit of time.
5	And and so we didn't really need to
6	address time frames because, you know, we don't
7	need to establish those right now. We all will be
8	working as fast and as quickly as possible to
9	relief release the stay in the Lightbourne
10	case Mr. Lightbourne's case.
11	So the documents that are going to be
12	produced, that can be produced before the next
13	hearing. We can decide whether or not we need any
14	more testimony. If you do, I guess you can
15	proceed to take it or whatever else.
16	I think I would like to request that defense
17	counsel submit a proposed order incorporating my
18	remarks and whatever else you think might be
19	appropriate to include in a grant of temporary

- 20 relief.
- MR. NUNNELLEY: Your Honor, I am going to
- object to the defendant being given permission to
- tell the Court whatever else they think is
- appropriate in a grant of temporary relief.
- 25 I'm not sure what temporary relief the Court

1	really can grant under the or is appropriate
2	for the Court to grant.
3	I think this Court has made its concerns
4	known. I think its concerns mirror those or
5	mirror the actions that the Department of
6	Corrections has said they're going to take.
7	But I must point out that there is no
8	execution order. There is no death warrant in
9	Mr. Lightbourne's case. There is no stay of
10	there is no execution scheduled, and hence there
11	is nothing really to stay. There is nothing to
12	stay.
13	The Court I know I think we have at
14	this point even a greater importance on getting a
15	timeframe from Mr. Changus about what the
16	Department of Corrections thinks they can do,
17	despite counsel's objection to it, so we can
18	report to the Florida Supreme Court as to whether
19	or not we are going to be able to meet their time

deadlines that they have set -- have set that
still remain in place.

And at this point in time I'm not sure if
this is an appealable order. This is no order,
really, at this juncture. And what that does is
throw this case effectively into limbo with

1	another hearing coming up, a death warrant
2	scheduled in another case, and an order of some
3	sort from this an order from this court that
4	may or may not quite honestly, and I mean no
5	disrespect, Judge, but a stay of execution when
6	there is no execution ordered, I'm not sure what
7	that does.
8	THE COURT: I'm not, either.
9	MR. NUNNELLEY: There is that does,
10	however, potentially impact the death warrant case
11	which is set on the same briefing track as this
12	case.
13	So what I guess I'm hearing is that we need
14	DOC to get their thing done as quickly as they can
15	so we can come back, argue the matter, whatever,
16	allow the Court to review the protocols.
17	I would think they can the Court can
18	review whatever changes DC makes to them without
19	the need for the presentation of further evidence,

because otherwise if we do that we are going to be
here another ten days.
And at that point in time this Court needs to
either rule or not -- needs to rule one way or the
other so this case can go on to the Florida
Supreme Court and go on the appeal track that it's

1	scheduled for; otherwise, we're not going to meet
2	our deadlines.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. KEFFER: May I brief may I respond,
5	there is one a couple of comments that I do
6	want to put on the record without belaboring the
7	points here.
8	But the fact that there is not currently a
9	death warrant in Mr. Lightbourne's case certainly
10	doesn't prevent the Governor from doing so
11	whenever he decides to do that.
12	So in terms of issuing a temporary stay in
13	that regard, you know, that's that's based on,
14	you know, your Honor's decision. So I do just
15	want to point that out.
16	In terms of I'm and maybe your Honor can
17	clarify this I am interpreting what you said
18	today as a final order on what you were remanded
19	to decide. And so whatever that means in terms of

- what the State needs to do, then that's how I
- 21 think it should proceed.
- THE COURT: Okay. I would consider it a
- final order as to what they asked me to do.
- MR. CHANGUS: Your Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

1	MR. CHANGUS: May I may I step down and
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	MR. CHANGUS: make a couple of comments?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MR. NUNNELLEY: Your Honor, if this is a
6	fine if this is a final order then I don't see
7	how it can be I don't see how we can have a
8	final order if we are going to come back for
9	further proceedings.
10	A final order by definition is an order that
11	completely concludes the proceedings before the
12	Court. The Court said we need to come back for
13	further proceedings once once the Department of
14	Corrections has done what they say they are going
15	to do. And I would suggest that that is not the
16	final order. I don't see how it can be construed
17	as a final order.
18	THE COURT: I'll let the Supreme Court figure
10	that one out

MR. CHANGUS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir?

MR. CHANGUS: A couple of questions since -
and, of course, of what you were discussing, in

terms of the production of public records, I think

you said it can be done -- you know, and again,

this is -- this is still very fluid, but I had 1 2 reported a long time ago, or it seems, Thursday, I 3 think, when we discussed it, or when your order 4 came out granting public records, that I would try 5 to get them by, you know, the middle of next week. And then I think you indicated that, well, 6 that can done in the course of -- of whatever, you 7 know, but --8 9 THE COURT: Uh-hmm. MR. CHANGUS: -- I didn't know if you were 10 altering your timeframe. 11 And then as to the motion to interview the 12 primary executioner and the medical team member, 13 14 and again that were involved in Diaz, again, I would raise the same concerns that I had before. 15 16 And I also want to make the point that the 17 Court's concern at this point -- you know, from what it's expressed looks to be going forward, as 18 I would indicate that we probably need to do. 19

We've heard a lot of testimony on Diaz. We
have evidence into the record from -- from the
Governor's Commission hearings. We've had a lot
of time spent. We have brought in pretty much
just about everybody that was there for the Diaz
execution.

1	Bringing these people again, I would submit,
2	you know, as far as to the extent that I think
3	you indicated that that might be done, you know,
4	again, I think the probative value versus the
5	prejudicial value, I think the prejudicial value
6	overwhelms it. And I would just make that point
7	for your Honor. And you may be considering it
8	down the line, but I just wanted to get that on
9	the record.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. CHANGUS: Thank you, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	THE CLERK: I need that exhibit.
14	MR. CHANGUS: Yes, ma'am. Oh, I almost took
15	it.
16	THE COURT: Uhmm, I think I'll overrule the
17	State's objection and request defense counsel, if
18	you would submit a proposed order by this is
19	when? How long would it take the court reporter

to get those remarks, just mine here, getting it
typed up? Can do that Monday?
THE COURT REPORTER: By five o'clock Monday.
(End of Excerpt.)
---

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF MARION
4	
5	I, Noelani J. Fehr, Stenographic Court Reporter
6	and Notary Public, State of Florida at Large, do
7	hereby certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	taken in the case of STATE OF FLORIDA VS. IAN
10	LIGHTBOURNE, CASE NUMBER 42-1981-CF-170, and that the
11	foregoing pages numbered 1 through 33 inclusive,
12	constitute a true and correct record of the
13	proceedings to the best of my ability.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, or
15	employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the
16	parties hereto, nor a relative, or employee of such
17	attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested

WITNESS MY HAND this 22nd day of July, 2007,

18 in the action.

19

20 at Ocala, Marion County, Florida.

21	
21	
22	
	Noelani J. Fehr
23	Stenographic Court Reporter
	Notary Public
24	State of Florida at Large
25	My Commission expires: 7-24-2010